

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,949	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

(3) _____.

 (2) Darleen Stockley.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

12-17

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Stockley to inform her that the application would be in condition for allowance if claims 12 to 17 were amended by deleting "Y is a colorant residue; and". The amendment is necessary since Y is not a part of the formulas in these claims. It was agreed that claims 12 to 17 would be amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment..